

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/849,315	05/07/2001	Joseph J. Solon	4025	8680
7	590 10/30/2002			
Laurence R. Brown			EXAMINER	
7412 Spring Vi Apt 204			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
Springfield, VA 22150			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	B
			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 58				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/849,315	SOLON, JOSEPH J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander S. Thomas	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fit s, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 S	September 2002 and 16 Octob	er 2002 .				
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters,					
Disposition of Claims	P 4					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Claim(s) 1-21 and 24 is/are pending in the application.					
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) 1,2,9-11,13-15,17 and 24 is/are rejected.					
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-8,12 and 16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	r cleation requirement					
Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2002 is/a		ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)  approved b) disap	proved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	- ·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/849,315

Art Unit: 1772

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not established that the process as claimed in all the method claims can be used to make a different article than that of the article claims. This is not found persuasive because the process as defined in claim 1 can be used to make a different article than that claimed such as article wherein the pallet does not have a configuration for transport by fork lift. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 9-11, 13-15, 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of Pignataro ('083). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see column 7, lines 46-63. However it does not disclose removing the sidewalls from the tread. The secondary reference discloses removing the sidewalls from the tread prior to shipping in a recycling process. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to remove the sidewalls form the tread as taught by the secondary reference in the process of the primary reference if extra processing steps and expense could be tolerated and depending on the desired final article that is to be made. Applicant argues that Miller does not disclose tire mats on pallets without requiring the strapping of the mat bundles. However the instant

Application/Control Number: 09/849,315

Art Unit: 1772

claims do not preclude the presence of straps to hold the bundles together. Applicant also argues that Miller does not disclose the method step of preventing the accumulation of water in the strips. However, Miller clearly discloses stacking the tire mats to form a generally solid form and therefore would inherently prevent the accumulation of water; see column 4, lines 57-62. Applicant argues that there is additional labor to precut the sidewalls as shown in Miller. Precutting the sidewalls in Miller would not appear to require any more labor and expense than applicant's precutting and removal of the side walls. In fact applicant's process would appear to require additional labor and expense since his removed sidewalls must be handled and stored whereas in Miller the side walls remain with the tire carcass during handling and storage.

- 4. Claims 3-8, 12 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/849,315

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

Page 4

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander S. Thomas whose telephone number is 703-308-2421. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ast

October 28, 2002

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olegenedy S. hours